



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,701	10/16/2001	Nobuhiro Ikeda	35.C15877	3756

5514 7590 05/31/2007
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

SWERDLOW, DANIEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2615

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/31/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/977,701	Applicant(s) IKEDA, NOBUHIRO	
	Examiner Daniel Swerdlow	Art Unit 2615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 April 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7,8,12,14-16 and 18-23 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,8,14,15 and 20-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,12,16,18 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. In the response filed on 24 April 2007, applicant traverses the restriction made in the Office action mailed on 7 July 2006 in response to applicant's amendment filed 24 April 2006 based on the allegation that the examination of the distinct inventions claimed does not present a serious burden on the examiner. As stated in the Office action mailed on 7 July 2006, prior to the amendment filed 24 April 2006 all claims were directed to a management device receiving data for identifying itself from a management center and/or data identifying a communication control device from a communication control device. There were no claims directed to a management device receiving data for identifying itself from communication control device. After amendment Claim 7 recited a management device in which data identifying the management device itself is received from a communication control device and stored in the management device and Claim 20 recited a method performed by a similar device. As stated in the advisory action mailed on 24 October 2006, the introduction of a distinct invention after an Office action on the merits constitutes a burden since it would require going back over fields of prior art that had already been searched for the originally claimed invention. The proper way to prosecute the distinct invention is with a divisional application. See MPEP 201.06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

Art Unit: 2615

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jacobson, Jr. (US Patent 6,424,660).
4. Regarding Claim 1, Jacobson discloses a reception device (Fig. 1, reference 110, 112, 114, 116, 118; Fig. 2, reference 200) and system controller arrangement (Fig. 1, reference 120; Fig. 3, reference 300) that corresponds to the management device claimed, connects wireless interfaces that correspond to the communications control device claimed with a remote control device (220) that corresponds to the management center claimed and comprises: transmit logic (Fig. 3, reference 306) that corresponds to the wireless communications means claimed and communicates wirelessly with component devices that inherently include wireless interfaces that correspond to the communications control device claimed (column 5, lines 20-24, 31-36); receiver identifier storage (Fig. 2, reference 206) that corresponds to the memory means claimed and stores a receiver identifier that identifies the reception device and corresponds to the first identification data claimed; a target address (column 3, lines 18-22) that corresponds to the second identification data claimed and identifies the target component wireless interfaces that correspond to the communications control device claimed (column 5, lines 20-24, 31-36); an IR receiver (Fig. 2, reference 202) that corresponds to the reception means claimed and receives data from a remote control device (220) that corresponds to the management center claimed that controls (i.e., manages) the target component wireless interfaces that correspond to the communications control device claimed (column 5, lines 20-24, 31-36) via an IR signal; and identifier control logic (Fig. 2, reference 208) that corresponds to the registration means claimed

Art Unit: 2615

and allows receiver identifiers and target address that correspond to the identifying data claimed and received by the IR receiver (Fig. 2, reference 202) that corresponds to the reception means claimed to be stored (i.e., registered) in the receiver identifier storage (Fig. 2, reference 206) that corresponds to the memory means claimed (column 4, lines 25-32).

5. Regarding Claim 2, Jacobson further discloses component devices (column 2, lines 49-65) that correspond to the peripheral device claimed and are controlled (i.e., managed) by communication from the transmit logic (Fig. 3, reference 306) that corresponds to the wireless communications means to the wireless interfaces of the component devices that correspond to the communications control device claimed (column 5, lines 20-24, 31-36).

6. Regarding Claim 4, Jacobson further discloses the reception device (Fig. 1, reference 110, 112, 114, 116, 118) and system controller arrangement (Fig. 1, reference 120) that corresponds to the management device claimed being installed in multiple rooms of a residence (i.e., functioning as a base wireless station) while the target component wireless interfaces that correspond to the communications control device claimed (column 5, lines 20-24, 31-36) are part of portable devices (i.e., function as a mobile wireless station) (column 2, lines 49-65).

7. Regarding Claim 5, Jacobson further discloses a plurality of target components, the inherent wireless interfaces of which correspond to the plurality of communications control device claimed) (column 2, lines 49-65).

8. All elements of Claims 16 and 19 are essentially comprehended by Claims 1, 2 and 5. As such, Claims 16 and 19 are rejected on the same grounds as Claims 1, 2 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobson in view of Seo (US Patent 5,764,281).

11. Regarding Claim 12, Seo discloses a remote control system that prompts a user for a password (i.e., requests identification data from a management center) and receives a password from a user (i.e., input means for inputting a password) (column 4, lines 20-32). Seo further discloses that such an arrangement prevents presentation of unsuitable content to users (column 6, lines 14-20). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to apply password request and input as taught by Seo to the system taught by Jacobson for the purpose of realizing the aforesaid advantage.

12. All elements of Claim 18 are essentially comprehended by Claim 12. As such, Claim 18 is rejected on the same grounds as Claim 12.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 24 April 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive..

14. In the paragraph spanning pages 10 and 11 of the response, applicant alleges that Jacobson fails to disclose registering, in a memory, first data received for identifying a

Art Unit: 2615

management device and second data received for identifying a communication control device, as claimed in Claims 1 and 16. Examiner respectfully disagrees. As shown in the prior art rejections above, Jacobson discloses receiver identifiers and target address that correspond to the identifying data claimed being received by the IR receiver (Fig. 2, reference 202) and stored (i.e., registered) in the receiver identifier storage (Fig. 2, reference 206) that corresponds to the memory claimed (column 4, lines 25-32).

15. In the first complete paragraph on page 11 of the response, applicant alleges that Jacobson fails to disclose identification data for identifying a communication control device, as claimed in Claims 1 and 16. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Jacobson discloses, “individual components in individual rooms are coupled to transmit logic 306 via communication line 124 of FIG. 1. Each of the components is individually addressable, thereby allowing system controller 300 to identify particular ones of these components” (column 5, lines 20-24).

16. In the paragraph spanning pages 11 and 12 of the response, applicant alleges that Jacobson fails to disclose registering the target address, as claimed in Claim 1. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Since the system controller identifies components by individual addresses, these addresses must be, at some point in time, stored in a memory associated with the reception device and system controller arrangement that corresponds to the management device claimed.

17. Applicant’s arguments with respect to the withdrawn claimed are moot in view of the maintained restriction.

Conclusion

18. Applicant's amendment necessitated any new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Swerdlow whose telephone number is 571-272-7531. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 7:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh H. Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Daniel Swerdlow
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2615

ds
23 May 2007